

## Spiritual Conundrum

The warfare, lawfare econfare fate of the West has exposed the limitations, indeed flaws in democracies - rule by majority. The masses have learned by political example that they can vote themselves largess as exposed by De Alexis de Tocqueville in the 1800's. It has taken some time for the pendulum to swing to this apex of philandering but we are deeply into the falling arc. Many philosophers have projected such a failure of the pure 'democratic' principle as demonstrated in the sacrifice of Socrates in the B.C. Athenian culture. I have written earlier of a modified democratic system whose basis is the layering of the concept of family as the key unit. Obviously this is not current in western nations-states; the family is being destroyed through Abortion, LBGQTQ!, and the subsidization of single family households etc. - see earlier Substack article.

The title of this article attacks the spiritual conundrum that is apparent in all aspects of present western societies, and is such that all references or considerations of spiritual aspects of life and society have been denuded. No reference to The Spirit is permitted, active conflict within religions is encouraged to support secular objectives. Although verboten in current political societal discussion, spiritual values will prove to be the only values that can hope to restore family and pacific communal values. Spiritual Values are core first principal aspects of relationship in the human, flora and fauna communities and as such are not hidden and complicated in their nature. A few of these core values are; cause no harm, honor each created element (entity) as sanctified, promote Wu Wei - effortless action - minimize and control of 'financialiation' through the function of P.M. backed money. Coincidentally Gold has been discovered, produced, and refined at rate of 2% per annum, consistent with the Feds. target inflation rate.

The preceding hopefully forms a foundation for the task ahead: parsing-out right/wrong in the Hama's/Israel debacle; a debacle that may not only consume one player due to extant nefarious skills of one or both parties. I'd like to attack this issue through a question/answer format allowing an incremental association of intent, mission, action, response et al.

- 1). Who or which party 'owns' the land.
  - a. The Palestinians (Hamas)
  - b. The Israelis - The Chosen people
  - c. No-one wins the land it is and always has been and will be in conflict as are generally all nation lands throughout the world.
- 2). Has there been significant efforts and tentative agreements since the establishment by edict of the Jewish nation to resolve a home for the 'displaced' Palestinians.
  - a. Yes - The Camp David Accords
  - b. No - none of past agreements and or discussions addressed the real needs of the Palestinian people.
- 3). Have there been atrocities perpetrated by one or more of the contending parties since the formation of the Israeli State?
  - a. Yes, however as the Israeli's expelled and/or through negotiations with 'Arab' leaders, purchased lands of current inhabitants they are the original aggressors.
  - b. 'No', all 'so-called atrocities were in response to the other parties provocations.
- 4). Is a resolution of the conflict achievable if one or both of the parties demand the virtual elimination of the other? And not, what role can neighbors and other interested parties play?
  - a). Yes, there is always a potential that an agreement could be formulated that would include compromise yet fulfill minimum objectives of each party.
  - b). No, it is obvious the both parties have a religious basis although somewhat spurious to the contested lands (Esau/Jacob and stolen heritage); to sacrifice 'faith' and dogma in this area will be impossible due to deep historical precedents.
- 5). Would a Federate Republic such as was envisioned for the United States by a practical solution, independent of religious connotations, whereby The Gaza, Palestine as represented by the PLO and perhaps including Hezbollah with the agreement of Jordan forming the 'states' of a Palestinian Republic which includes Israel and as the capitol of the new Israel or Palestine.
  - 2). Yes, such a plan is conceivable when the alternative is an incinerated Middle East due to Israel's use of nuclear defenses if threatened existentially.
  - b.) No, both sides apparently are more than willing to sacrifice the nations and peoples so as to not yield to the other - this is martyrdom in extremis.

- 6). If the U.S. threatens or acts to withhold monies and/or armaments necessary to defend Israel from a partially mobilized Arab/Muslim cohort would the Palestinians and their supporters overwhelm Israel and assure her defeat and removal 'from the river to the sea'?
- a). Yes, with the proviso that it is likely Israel when her defeat becomes inevitable will launch an armageddon throughout the Middle East.
  - b). No, the 'Arabs' will see their ultimate victory and sue for a 'just' peace on their terms.
- 7). Will the 'West' sit idle on the side without providing 'an offer that could not be refused' to both sides in lieu of a complete loss of Middle East Oil/Gas output for the next century?
- a). Yes, the West is leaderless, gutless and rudderless and will sit by idly while the world economy, culture and society is destroyed - that's where we are and likely will likely remain.
  - b). No, cooler heads will prevail in the West and East to forestall and hopefully provide wisdom and direction for a resolution of this conundrum.

This is a "biblical war against principalities and powers" and requires the intervention of greater powers than are extant in our societies at present.